

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,085	12/06/2001	Keiichi Hayashi	SON-0522US	5330	
21254	7590 03/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			BAUTISTA,	BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L	
8321 OLD C SUITE 200	8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 200			PAPER NUMBER	
	A 22182-3817		2179		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/200	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ť,

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/003,085	HAYASHI, KEIICHI
Examiner	Art Unit
X L Bautista	2179

Advisory Action	10/003,085 HAYASHI, KEIICHI		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	X L Bautista	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (and the condition of the prior of time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba) an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).		
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension as CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
the reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	peal, but prior to the date of filing a	n appeal brief. The No	otice of Appeal
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
MENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first the compared to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal, and/or			THE ISSUES TO
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
FFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). 10/15/03 & 1/2	<u>1716/04</u> /
3. Other:	· ·	Interior	dula (1
		X L Badtista Primary Examiner	1-00
		Art Limit: 2170	

Art Unit: 2179

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shiraishi discloses a portable device (PC1 may be a laptop; see fig. 4) having a browser function for downloading images from a Web server. Shiraishi explains that the portable device is configured so as to be rotatable; it can be appreciated that whenever the device is rotated the image rotates as well (image tilt; see figs. 51D-51F, 52A-52C, 53A-53D).